



Response of three cultivars of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*L.) and associated weeds to herbicides

Bakhtiyar Hassan Mohammed¹, Mohammed Ali Hussain² & Guhdar Salih Hussein²

1 Department of Soil and water sciences, College of Agriculture, University of Duhok, Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq.

2 Department of Field crops, College of Agriculture, University of Duhok, Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq.

2 E-mail: Guhdar@uod.ac

Article info

Original:
19 January 2016
Revised:28 June 2016
Accepted:31 July 2016
Published online:
20 December 2016

Key Words:

Herbicide,
Topic Pallas,
Weed,
Narrow and Broad leaf
weeds.

Abstract

This experiment was conducted to Study the response of three cultivars of bread wheat and the effect of herbicides on growth and yield of wheat cultivars during the growing season 2014-2015. The experiment comprised three wheat cultivars (Rabiaa, Khemisi-1, Abugraib-3) and two herbicides treatments Pallas with rates (350, 500 and 650 ml ha⁻¹) and Topic Pallas with three rates (600, 750 and 900 ml ha⁻¹) by using factorial experiment arranged in Randomized Completely Block Design with three replicates. The results showed significant effect of cultivars, herbicides, and cultivars *herbicides concentration in number and dry weight of broad and narrow leaf weeds, 1000-grain weight, number of grain spike⁻¹. The Rabiaacultivar was superiority in grain yield which gave 1929.0 kg ha⁻¹andthe concentration 650 ml ha⁻¹ for both herbicides was more effective in controlling of narrow leaf weeds. The Khemissi-1 cultivar treated with Pallas application at recommended dose (500 ml ha⁻¹) was recorded the lowest number and dry weight of broad leaf weeds 9.33 and 73 g. while the Abugraib-3 cultivar gave (50.28 g) for 1000-grain weight and yield (2016.6 kg ha⁻¹) with Pallas applied at 500 ml ha⁻¹.

Introduction

Wheat is a staple food and supplies of the calories and protein in the average diet [1] and [2] indicated that the yield reducing factors among others include insect, weed and disease.

The presence of weeds in a crop cans ableadverse effect of plant production in number of ways. Also, weed reduced quality and increases the harvesting cost. [3] reported that the increase wheat yields, it is important to manage weeds, which resulted higher yield in weeded crop.

[4] observed, the chemical and hard weeding have been used as a weed control in wheat and herbicides application and weeding decreased dry weight of weeds significantly as compared to dry weight in non-treated plots. Chemical weed control in wheat was the best in producing higher grain yield than hard weeding.

The application of grassy and broad leaf herbicides increased grain yield and yield components [5]. [6] observed weed infestation is one of the main causes of law grain yield of wheat over the world , as it reduces wheat grain yield by 37 to 50% [6] and [7]. In such situations, herbicides offer most ideal, practical, effective and economical means of reducing early weed competition and crop production losses [8]. At present, a number of pre and post –emergence herbicides are used in wheat fields for controlling weeds and to enhance wheat grain yield. There are evidences suggesting that herbicides besides controlling weeds reduced phytotoxic effect in crop. A decrease in chlorophyll [9] and [10], protein [11] and [12] and carbohydrate content [13] has been found in wheat treated with herbicides. The

study aimed to investigate the efficacy of herbicides and effective doses on weeds and grain yield of wheat cultivars.

Materials and Methods:

This experiment was carried out at Field Crops Research Farm of Agricultural College- University of Duhok during wintergrowing season 2014-2015 in a clay loam soil to evaluate the effect of three wheat cultivars and two herbicide treatments on yield and related weeds. The three wheat cultivars (Rabiaa, Abugraib-3, khemissi-1) and two herbicides treatments (Pallas and topic Pallas) with three application dose (0.1575, 0.225, 0.2925 Kg a.i. ha⁻¹ which corresponded to 350, 500, 650 ml ha⁻¹ for Pallas) and (0.048, 0.060, 0.072 Kg a.i. ha⁻¹ which corresponded to 600, 750, 900 ml ha⁻¹ for Topic Pallas) including one untreated check. The Factorial experiment in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replicates was used. The sowing date was 19th December 2014 using a seed rate of 120 kg ha⁻¹ in four line 3m length and 0.25 m width between the rows. The normal cultural practices for growing wheat were applied as recommended. Nitrogen (N), were applied 120kg ha⁻¹ as urea. Recommended rate of N was applied at 75 days after sowing and half of the N was applied after 100 days. Weeds were counted from one square meter randomly taken from each plot, then identified and classified to narrow and broad leaf, Table 1, revealed to occurrence of seventeen different accompanied weed species of wheat, comprised nine families, five narrow leaf and twelve broad leaf and their dry weights were recorded by oven drying at 70 C for 48 hours. At harvest, a plant sample of one square meter from each plot was taken to determine number of grain spike⁻¹, 1000 - grain weight and grain yields (kg ha⁻¹). The data were subjected to analysis of variance with SAS computer software and the mean was compared by using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) at the 5% probability level.

Table (1): Weed species in Wheat field during growing season 2014-2015.

| | Scientific name | Family name |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------------|
| a- Narrow leaf weeds | | |
| 1 | <i>Phalaris minor</i> Retz. | Poaceae |
| 2 | <i>Hordeum glaucum</i> Steud. | Poaceae |
| 3 | <i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L. | Cyperaceae |
| 4 | <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers. | Poaceae |
| 5 | <i>Avena fatua</i> L. | Poaceae |
| b- Broad leaf weeds | | |
| 6 | <i>Sinapis arvensis</i> L. | Brassicaceae |
| 7 | <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L. | Convolvulaceae |
| 8 | <i>Trifolium campestre</i> Scherb. | Fabaceae |
| 9 | <i>Vaccaria pyramidata</i> Medik. | Caryophyllaceae |
| 10 | <i>Euphorbia helioscopia</i> L. | Euphorbiaceae |
| 11 | <i>Carthamus oxycantha</i> Bieb. | Asteraceae |
| 12 | <i>Sonchus oleraceus</i> | Asteraceae |
| 13 | <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> L. | Asteraceae |
| 14 | <i>Lactuca virosa</i> | Asteraceae |
| 15 | <i>Cichorium intybus</i> L. | Asteraceae |
| 16 | <i>Centaurea iberica</i> Trevir. Spreng. | Asteraceae |
| 17 | <i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. | Hypericaceae |

Results and Discussion:

Table (2) showed highly significant and significant effect of cultivars on number and dry weight of broad leaf weeds, number of grains spike⁻¹, 1000-grain weight and non-significant on other traits, while the herbicide concentrations showed a highly significant effect in all traits except number of grain spike⁻¹

¹.Regarding to the interaction between cultivars and herbicide concentrations, the results in the same table showed a highly and significant effect on number of grain spike⁻¹, number of narrow leaf, number and dry weight of broad leaf weeds, 1000-grain weight and non-significant on grain yield(kg ha⁻¹) and dry weight of narrow leaf weeds. This results in accordance with the work [14] and [15].

Table (2): Mean squares for studied traits of Wheat cultivars and herbicide concentrations during growing season 2014-2015.

| Source of Variance | df | No. of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² | Dry Weight of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | No. of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² | Dry Weight of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | No. of grains spike ⁻¹ | 1000 grain weight (g) | Yield kg ha ⁻¹ |
|---|----|--|--|---|---|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Block | 2 | 28.19 | 2022 | 47.7 | 12788 | 3.321 | 35.33 | 34866 |
| | | N.S. | N.S. | ** | ** | ** | ** | N.S. |
| Cultivars (A) | 2 | 149.33 | 129350 | 8899.1 | 76478 | 30.096 | 4.70 | 35784 |
| | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Herbicide concentrations (B) | 6 | 797.29 | 785147 | 3025.3 | 162257 | 74.434 | 184.25 | 866828 |
| | | ** | N.S. | ** | ** | ** | ** | N.S. |
| A *B | 12 | 250.37 | 92355 | 1439.5 | 45845 | 24.877 | 128.30 | 45211 |
| Error | 40 | 46.59 | 19030 | 130.3 | 2433 | 5.440 | 15.70 | 67266 |
| Total | 62 | | | | | | | |

*,** represent significant effect at 0.05 and 0.01levels respectively.

Results in Table (3) revealed the effect of wheat cultivars on studied traits, the cultivars Rabiaa and Abugraib-3 were recorded the lowest number of narrow leaf weeds (12.4), while the Khemissi-1 was recorded the maximum number of narrow leaf weeds (15.0) , this indicate that the cultivars Rabiaa and Abugraib-3 were more competitive with weeds. The cultivar Abugraib-3recorded the high dry weight of narrow leaf weeds (401.6 g) followed by cultivar Rabiaa with value (266.3 g) and the Khemissi-1 was obtained the lowest value (265.1 g).Concerning the effect of wheat cultivars on number of broad leaf weeds, the results showed the cultivar Rabiaa was recorded the lowest number of broad leaf weeds (36.9) while the cultivars Khemissi-1 and Abugraib -3 were obtained 50.1 and 77.0 respectively, this mean the cultivar Rabiaa gave more tillers and a good growth in the primary of growth so that effect significantly on number of broad leaf weeds. The results in the same table showed the cultivar Abugraib-3 was recorded the minimum dry weight of broad leaf weeds (178.9 g) and followed by cultivar Rabiaa (247.09 g), this mean the cultivars were different in competitive with weeds. Also the data in the same table indicated that the wheat cultivars have a significant effect on number of grain spike⁻¹, the cultivar Abugraib-3 obtained the maximum number of grains spike⁻¹ (38.5), while the differences between other cultivars was non-significant and recorded 37.6 and 36.2 for Rabiaa and Khemissi-1 cultivars respectively. Regarding to 1000-grain weight and yield kg ha⁻¹ non-significant effects were appeared and also yield components (number of grains spike⁻¹ and 1000-grain weight) were not effected in grain yield ha⁻¹, so that the differences between wheat cultivars were not different significantly between them. These results agree with the finding of researchers [3] and [11].

Table (3): Effect of Wheat cultivars on studied traits during growing season 2014-2015.

| Cultivars | No. of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² | Dry Weight of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | No. of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² | Dry Weight of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | No. of grains spike ⁻¹ | 1000 - grain weight (g) | Yield kg ha ⁻¹ |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Rabiaa | 12.4 b | 266.3 b | 36.9 c | 247.0 b | 37.6ab | 41.30 a | 1929.0 a |
| Abugraib-3 | 12.4 b | 401.6 a | 77.2 a | 178.0 c | 38.5 a | 42.03 a | 1910.3 a |
| Khemissi-1 | 15.0 ab | 265.1 b | 50.1 b | 298.3 a | 36.2 b | 42.19 a | 1850.0 a |

Values within each set of means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.05.

Table (4) clarified the effect of herbicide concentrations on studied traits. Regarding to number and dry weight of narrow leaf weeds the results showed the Topic Pallas herbicide application at 650 ml ha⁻¹

concentration gave the minimum number of weeds per m² (2.22) and so that this treatment gave lower dry weight (46.0 g) followed by Pallas herbicide application at 650 ml ha⁻¹ that gave 8.89 and 172.0 g for number and dry weight of narrow leaf weeds respectively, while the check treatment recorded maximum number (32.89) and weight (930.3 g) and can say that the concentration 650 ml ha⁻¹ for both herbicides was more effective in controlling of narrow leaf weeds. These finding are also in conformity with of [8] and [16] who stated that weed populations lower in herbicide treated plots than control plot.

Regarding the herbicide concentrations, the table (4) ratified the lower number and dry weight of broad leaf weeds 26.22 and 99.2 g were noticed by recommended dose of Pallas, for the Topic Pallas, the lowest number was recorded by dose (650 ml ha⁻¹) 45.78, but the check and application of Topic Pallas at higher dose appeared the highest number and weight of broad leaf weeds (73.33, 519.0 g 81.56 and 249.5 g) respectively. The results were in line with [3] and [8].

Concerning the effect of herbicide concentrations on number of grains spike⁻¹, the application of Pallas at 500 and 350 ml ha⁻¹ dose showed the maximum number of grains spike⁻¹ (40.81 and 39.67) respectively, while the dose 750 ml ha⁻¹ of Topic Pallas exhibited the maximum value 39.88 compare with other doses but, the lowest number of grains spike⁻¹ was recorded in the weedy check (33.34), these results in accordance with the work of [17] who found that this may related to broad spectrum number of grains spike⁻¹.

The maximum 1000-grain weight was noticed by Topic Pallas application at 650 ml ha⁻¹ dose (48.07 g) followed by treatment of Pallas application at 350 ml ha⁻¹ (44.22 g), while the minimum weight of 1000-grain was recorded in the weedy check (33.67 g). This finding is directly supported with study of [18] and [19] who reported that due to strong competition of weeds with crop on necessary elements the weight of grains majority reduced. Regarding to yield kg ha⁻¹, the herbicide concentration appeared significant difference with the check treatment and the yield range between 1925.6 to 2051.5 kg ha⁻¹ but the check gave 1199.3 kg ha⁻¹ and also noticed that the difference between the concentration levels were not significant in this trait.

Table (4): Effect of herbicide concentrations on studied traits during growing season 2014-2015.

Values within each set of means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.05.

| Herbicide concentrations | No. of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² | Dry Weight of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | No. of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² | Dry Weight of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | No. of grains spike ⁻¹ | 1000 - grain weight (g) | Yield kg ha ⁻¹ |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Pallas (650 ml ha ⁻¹) | 8.89bc | 172.0cd | 54.89b | 162.6cd | 35.77bc | 38.93bc | 2051.5a |
| Pallas (500 ml ha ⁻¹) | 15.56b | 273.9bc | 26.22c | 99.2d | 40.81a | 42.49ab | 1994.2a |
| Pallas (350 ml ha ⁻¹) | 14.67b | 123.7cd | 47.11b | 261.7b | 39.67a | 44.22ab | 2029.4a |
| Topic Pallas (900 ml ha ⁻¹) | 17.78b | 232.6bcd | 81.56a | 249.5b | 38.02ab | 42.07b | 1925.6a |
| Topic Pallas (750 ml ha ⁻¹) | 13.33b | 398.7b | 54.22b | 200.0bc | 39.88a | 43.44ab | 2034.9a |
| Topic Pallas (650 ml ha ⁻¹) | 2.22c | 46.0d | 45.78b | 194.9bc | 34.71bc | 48.07a | 2040.0a |
| Check | 32.89a | 930.3a | 73.33a | 519.6a | 33.34c | 33.67c | 1199.3b |

The data in Table (5) showed the interaction between wheat cultivars and herbicide concentrations, the Abugraib-3 and Khemissi-1 cultivars treated with Topic Pallas applied at 650 ml ha⁻¹ were recorded the minimum number and dry weight of narrow leaf weeds 1.33, 1.33, 47.4 g and 22.0 g respectively followed by Rabiaa and Abugraib-3 cultivars with application of Pallas at dose 350 ml ha⁻¹ which recorded 5.33, 4.0, 97.9 g and 56.3 g respectively, therefore the lower dose application of herbicides for controlling of weeds ultimately was important for reducing the soil pollution and was economic. The Khemissi-1 cultivar treated with Pallas application at recommended dose (500 ml ha⁻¹) was recorded the lowest number and dry weight of broad leaf weeds 9.33 and 73 g, while the highest dry weight was appeared for check treatment 855.7 g with Khemissi-1 cultivar. The results were in line with [3] and [8].

Table 5: Effect of interaction between Wheat cultivars and herbicides concentrations on weed traits during growing season 2014-2015.

| Characters | No. of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² | | | Dry Weight of Narrow leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | | | No. of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² | | | Dry Weight of Broad leaf weeds m ⁻² (g) | | |
|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Rabiaa Cultivar | Abugraib-3 Cultivar | Khemissi-1 Cultivar | Rabiaa Cultivar | Abugraib-3 Cultivar | Khemissi-1 Cultivar | Rabiaa Cultivar | Abugraib-3 Cultivar | Khemissi-1 Cultivar | Rabiaa Cultivar | Abugraib-3 Cultivar | Khemissi-1 Cultivar |
| Herbicide concentrations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pallas (650 ml ha ⁻¹) | 8.00 d-g | 10.67 c-g | 8.00 d-g | 203.9 de | 202.8 de | 109.3 de | 68.00 cd | 74.00 bcd | 22.67 fg | 273.3 bcd | 70.9 g | 143.7 d-g |
| Pallas (500 ml ha ⁻¹) | 8.00 d-g | 25.33 a-e | 13.33 c-g | 122.5 de | 532.7 bcd | 166.4 de | 22.67 fg | 46.67 def | 9.33 g | 108.0 efg | 116.6 efg | 73.0 fg |
| Pallas (350 ml ha ⁻¹) | 5.33 efg | 4.00 fg | 34.67 ab | 97.9 e | 56.3 e | 216.8 de | 16.00 fg | 49.33 c-f | 76.00 bcd | 306.0 bc | 254.2 b-e | 224.9 b-f |
| Topic Pallas (900 ml ha ⁻¹) | 21.33 a-g | 17.33 b-g | 14.67 b-g | 287.1 cde | 212.7 de | 198.0 de | 51.33 c-f | 120.00 a | 73.33 bcd | 303.6 bc | 221.0 b-g | 223.8 b-g |
| Topic Pallas (750 ml ha ⁻¹) | 12.00 c-g | 24.00 a-f | 4.00 fg | 406.1 b-e | 742.4 ab | 64.8 e | 29.33 efg | 62.67 cde | 70.67 bcd | 197.5 c-g | 82.0 fg | 320.6 bc |
| Topic Pallas (650 ml ha ⁻¹) | 4.00 fg | 1.33 g | 1.33 g | 51.3 e | 47.4 e | 22.0 e | 29.33 efg | 84.00 bc | 24.00 fg | 202.9 c-g | 135.5 d-g | 246.1 b-e |
| Check | 28.00 a-d | 41.33 a | 29.33 abc | 695.5 abc | 999.8 a | 1095.7 a | 41.33 d-g | 104.00 ab | 74.67 bcd | 337.3 bc | 365.8 b | 855.7 a |

Values within each set of means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.05.

Results from Table (6) represented that the Abugraib-3 cultivar treated with Pallas application at recommended and lower doses recorded highest number of grains spike⁻¹ 43.3 and 43.83 respectively, whereas the Rabiaa cultivar was surpassed in number of grains spike⁻¹ when treated with Topic Pallas at 900 ml ha⁻¹ which recorded 42.60, while the minimum value related to weedy check 31.80 with Khemissi-1 cultivar. These results agree with the finding of [17].

From the same table there were highly significant effects in 1000-grain weight and yield kg ha⁻¹ traits. Rabiaa cultivar exceeded others in 1000-grain weight and recorded 55.23 g with Topic Pallas at dose 650 ml ha⁻¹ which gave highest yield 2096.4 kg ha⁻¹ and followed by the same herbicide at dose 900 ml ha⁻¹ (2113.3 kg ha⁻¹). From the above results the herbicide Topic Pallas appeared more effective in controlling of most weeds at doses 650 and 900 ml ha⁻¹ compare to Pallas herbicide, while the Abugraib-3 cultivar gave (50.28 g) for 1000-grain weight and yield (2016.6 kg ha⁻¹) with Pallas applied at 500 ml ha⁻¹, whereas the minimum weight of 1000-grain was recorded in the weedy check (32.03 g) with Khemissi-1 cultivar while the same treatment with Rabiaa cultivar recorded lowest yield (1157.0 kg ha⁻¹), the results clearly indicated the Khemissi-1 and Rabiaa cultivars were weak competitors with narrow and broad leaf weeds. these results in accordance with the work of [18]and [19].

Table 6: Interaction between Wheat Cultivars and Herbicides and Concentrations on Studied Traits Duding Growing Season 2014-2015.

| Characters | No. of grains spike ⁻¹ | | | 1000- grain weight (g) | | | Yield kg ha ⁻¹ | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Rabiaa Cultivar | Abugraib-3 Cultivar | Khemissi-1 Cultivar | Rabiaa Cultivar | Abugraib-3 Cultivar | Khemissi-1 Cultivar | Rabiaa Cultivar | Abugraib-3 Cultivar | Khemissi-1 Cultivar |
| Herbicide concentrations | | | | | | | | | |
| Pallas (650 ml ha ⁻¹) | 34.43 def | 35.30 c-f | 37.57 a-f | 35.88 c-f | 33.04 ef | 47.86 abc | 2004.7 abc | 2239.4 a | 1910.5 abcd |
| Pallas (500 ml ha ⁻¹) | 38.87 a-f | 43.30 a | 40.27 a-e | 34.5 def | 50.28 ab | 42.70 b-f | 2016.6 ab | 2020.1 ab | 1945.8 abcd |
| Pallas (350 ml ha ⁻¹) | 41.07 a-d | 43.83 a | 34.10 abc | 46.67 a-d | 37.90 c-f | 48.08 abc | 2088.2 a | 2149.4 a | 1850.5 abcd |
| Topic Pallas (900 ml ha ⁻¹) | 42.60 ab | 36.90 a-f | 34.57 def | 39.64 b-f | 50.49 ab | 36.07 c-f | 2113.3 a | 1703.4 abcd | 1960.0 abcd |
| Topic Pallas (750 ml ha ⁻¹) | 37.53 a-f | 40.17 a-e | 41.93 abc | 41.25 b-f | 44.10 a-f | 44.96 a-e | 2026.6 ab | 2038.4 ab | 2039.7 ab |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Topic Pallas (650 ml ha⁻¹) | 35.83 | 35.30 | 33.00 | 55.23 | 45.39 | 43.60 | 2096.4 | 2017.4 | 2006.3 |
| Check | b-f | c-f | ef | a | a-e | a-f | a | ab | abc |
| | 33.20 | 35.03 | 31.80 | 35.93 | 33.04 | 32.03 | 1157.0 | 1203.9 | 1237.0 |
| | ef | c-f | f | c-f | ef | f | d | cd | bcd |

Values within each set of means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.05.

Reference

- [1] Heyne, E. G., "*Wheat and wheat improvement*". 2nd ed. Madison, Wisconsin, USA. (1987).
- [2] Arnon. I. "*Crop Production in Dry Regions*". London Hill book, London. (1972).
- [3] Khan, M.H., G. Hassan, N. Khan and M.A. Khan, "*Efficacy of different herbicides for controlling broadleaf weeds in wheat*". Asian J. Plant Sci. Vol. 2, No. 3, pp 254-256. (2003).
- [4] Ahmad, K., Z. Shah, I. Khan, M. Khan and M. Q. Khan, "*Effect of post-emergence herbicides application and hand weeding on wheat and weed pressure*". Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. Vol. 6, No.1-2, pp 40-45. (1999).
- [5] Akhtar M., Q.Hamyn, M.B., Gill, M.S. Nazair, "*Comparative study of various crop management practices on weed growth and wheat yield*". Sarhad J Agric. Vol. 7, No. 2, pp 91-94. (1991).
- [6] Waheed A., R. Qureshi, G.S. Jakhar, H. Tareen, "*Weed community dynamics in wheat crop of District Rahim Yar Khan*". Pak. J. Bot. Vol. 41, No. 1, pp 247-254. (2009).
- [7] Rao V.S., "*Principles of Weed Science*", Second ed. Science Publishers, Inc., New Hampshire., pp.16-18. (2000).
- [8] Ashiq, M., A. Sattar, N. Ahmed, N. Muhammad, "*Role of herbicides in crop production. Pub.Unique enterprises 17-A, Gulberg colony*", Faisalabad, Pakistan. pp. 8-9. (2007).
- [9] Wavare R. A., W.D. Baste, "*Inhibition of photoelectron transport in isolated wheat chloroplasts by the herbicide stamp (pendimethalin)*". Indian Botanical Reporter Vol. 8, No.1, pp 59-62. (1989).
- [10] Auge R.M., D.R. Gealy, Y.R. Franceschi, A.G. Ogg, "*Comparative effects of metribuzin and its ethylthio analog on the ultrstructure of developing chloroplasts in seedlings of Triticumaestivum and Bromustectorum*". Pesticide Biochem. Physiol. Vol. 38, pp 204-215. (1990).
- [11] Mohammed A.M.A., A.A. El-Mashad, E.A. Kamel, "*Biochemical changes in germinated wheat grain treated with herbicides*". Ann. Agric. Sci. Cairo. Vol. 2, pp 457-476. (2000).
- [12] Aamil M., A. Zaidi, M.S. Khan, "*Effects of herbicides on growth, seed protein and yield in wheat (Triticumaestivum L.)*". Tests of Agrochemicals and Cultivars. Inst Agr Sci Dept Agr Microbiol, Aligarh Muslim Univ, pp. 12-3. (2004).
- [13] Nemat M.M., M.A. Alla, A.H. Abbas, El-Hakeem, "*Physiological responses of herbicides treated wheat to selected nitrogen sources*". Egypt. J. Biol. Vol. 41, No. 1, pp 99-119. (2001).
- [14] Savary, S., R.K. Srivastava, H.M. Singh and F.A. Elazegui, "*A characterization of rice pests quantification of losses in the rice-wheat system in India*". Crop. Pot. Vol. 16, pp 387-398. (1997).
- [15] Savary, S., Willocquetl, F.A. Elazegui, N.P. Castilla and P.S. Teny, "*Rice pest constrains in tropical Asia quantification of yield losses to rice pest in arrang of production situation*". Crop. Pot. Vol.10, No. 84, pp 340-357. (2008).
- [16] Shahid, "*Screening of different weed management practices for controlling weeds in wheat crop*". M.Sc. Thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, Gomal University: pp 102-108. (1998).
- [17] Iqbal M., "*Efficacy of herbicides and row spacing on weeds and yield and yield components of what*". Sarhad Journal of Agriculture, Vol. 1, pp 23-41. (2003).
- [18] Hussain, M. B.Kh., M. Tariq and S. Hanif, "*Spectrum of activity of different weed management practices on growth and yield of wheat (TriticumaestivumL.)*". International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp 166-168. (2003).
- [19] Nadeem, A. A. and A.Tanveer, "*Effect of different weed control practices and fertilizer levels on the weeds and grain yield of wheat*". Pakistan Journal of Botany, Vol. 38, No. 1, 173-182. (2006).